# Hepatocellular Carcinoma Among HBsAg Positive Blood Donors in Fukuoka, Japan

S. TOKUDOME,\* M. IKEDA,† K. MATSUSHITA,‡ Y. MAEDA‡ and M. YOSHINARI‡

\*Department of Community Health Science, Saga Medical School, Saga 840-01, †Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812 and ‡Fukuoka Red Cross Blood Center, Chikushino, Fukuoka 818, Japan

Abstract—In order to examine the association between hepatitis B virus carriage and hepatocellular carcinoma, 3765 HBsAg positive blood donors were followed from 1977 to 1983 in Fukuoka, Japan. The observed number of deaths was compared with the expected deaths calculated by applying cause-, sex- and age-specific death rates for Fukuoka in 1980 to sex- and age-specific population at risk of the subjects. Among 2595 male blood donors, mortality from liver cancer (or hepatocellular carcinoma) was specifically elevated compared with the general population, where the observed, expected deaths and O/E were 15, 2.07 and 7.25, respectively (P < 0.001). This relative risk was assumed to be underestimated partly because of a healthy donor effect. Neither the HBsAg titer nor the HBeAg-Ab system was related to the risk. Relative risk and population attributable risk % in Japan and various countries were estimated.

### INTRODUCTION

A strong association between the prevalence of hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) and incidence of (or mortality from) hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) has been suggested by studies on their geographical correlations in many parts of the world [1–4]. Such definite correlations by prefecture in Japan, and by city, town or village in Fukuoka Prefecture were also observed (Tokudome S, unpublished data).

Epidemiological studies (some prospetive [5–9] and some retrospective [10–22]) have demonstrated a significant relationship between hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection and risk of HCC in various countries as well as in Japan.

It is known that HBV DNA is integrated in the genomes of HCC and it seems probable that HBV plays a definitive role in the pathogenesis of HCC [23–28], but the precise carcinogenesis remains obscure.

This prospective study was done to examine whether HBsAg positive blood donors were at high risk of HCC in an area of moderate endemicity for HBV and whether such a risk was related to HBsAg titer or the hepatitis B e antigen—antibody (HBeAg-Ab) system. An etiologic fraction (or population attributable risk %) of HBV infection with respect to HCC was also estimated.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Medical records of HBsAg positive blood donors from 1977 to 1979 were reviewed at Fukuoka Red Cross Blood Center. Demographic and clinical data as to name, sex, blood type, HBsAg. HBeAg, anti-HBe, glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase [GOT (Karmen Units)], dates of birth and donation, age at donation and occupation were obtained. HBsAg was screened by electrosyneresis and reverse passive hemagglutination. HBeAg and anti-HBe were detected by a micro-Ouchterlony technique at the center.

In all, 4458 medical records of HBsAg positive blood donors were reviewed at the center. Among them, there were 679 duplicate donations, 13 non-Japanese and one whose date of donation was out of the observation period, all of which were excluded. The remaining 3765 subjects were analyzed in the study.

The blood donors were followed-up from the date of donation to the date of death or the end of observation period (31 December 1983). The vital

Accepted 2 September 1987.

Abbreviations used: HBV = hepatitis B virus; HCC = hepatocellular carcinoma; O/E = observed deaths/expected deaths; HBsAg = hepatitis B surface antigen; HBeAg = hepatitis B e antigen; Anti-HBe = antibody to hepatitis B e antigen; GOT = glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase.

This study was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Cancer Research from the Ministry of Health and Welfare (58-1) and from the Ministry of Education (59010075), Japan.

Address correspondence to: S. Tokudome, Department of Community Health Science, Saga Medical School, Saga 840-01, Japan.

Table 1. Number of subjects by sex and vital status as of 31

December 1983

Vital status	Male	Female	
Alive	2325	1103	
Deceased	30	3	
Unknown	240	64	
Total	2595	1170	

status of the subject was investigated by referring to the resident's registration card from the city, town or village office where the subject's present address is registered. When the subject was known to have died, the death certificate was requested from the District Legal Affairs Bureau at his Honseki (where one's permanent address is registered). Those lost to follow-up were assumed to have survived up to the end of the observation period.

The number of subjects by sex and vital status as of 31 December 1983 is shown in Table 1. Among the females, only three deaths were observed but none of them were associated with liver disease. Therefore, further analysis was confined to the male subjects. Of the 2595 males, 2325 were known to be alive and 30 were known to have died. Two hundred and forty (9.2%) were lost to follow-up. The total person-years at risk was 15,214.44, and the average observation period was 5.86 years. The age distribution of subjects was apparently skewed to younger ones, and 81.8% were less than 40 years old.

The causes of the observed deaths were classified according to the ICD-8th revision. In comparison with the observed number of deaths, the expected deaths was calculated by applying cause-, sex- and age-specific mortality rates for Fukuoka Prefecture in 1980 [29] to sex- and age-specific person-years at risk of blood donors. For statistical analysis, observed vs. expected deaths (O/E) was calculated and the difference was tested under the assumption of a Poisson distribution [30].

#### RESULTS

The observed and expected number of deaths with O/E values by selected cause are shown in Table 2. For all causes, the observed, expected deaths and O/E were 30, 38.16 and 0.79, respectively. Accordingly, no increased mortality was observed for all causes. For all malignant neoplasms, on the other hand, the O/E of 2.08 was significantly increased (P < 0.01), where the observed and expected deaths were 21 and 10.09, in that order.

However, further observation revealed that the elevated risk was due only to liver cancer where the observed, expected deaths and O/E were 15, 2.07

Table 2. Observed and expected number of deaths with their ratios from selected causes among male HBsAg positive blood donors from 1977 to 1983

Cause of death	Obs.	Exp.*	O/E
All malignant neoplasms	21	10.09	2.08†
Liver cancer	15	2.07	7.25‡
All malignant neoplasms			•
except liver cancer	6	8.02	0.75
Liver cirrhosis	1	3.07	0.33
All causes	30	38.16	0.79

<sup>\*</sup>Based on cause-, sex- and age-specific mortality rates for Fukuoka Prefecture in 1980.

and 7.25, respectively, with statistical significance at the 0.1% level. Although there were 16 liver cancers mentioned in the death certificates, one case was a pancreas cancer confirmed at autopsy. This case was eventually excluded from the observed deaths from liver cancer. The remaining 15 cases were considered unequivocally to be HCC. The risk of other cancers (all malignant neoplasms except liver cancer) was not elevated. The O/E of 0.33 for liver cirrhosis was not increased in this study.

Further analysis was made for HCC by GOT value, HBsAg titer, HBeAg-Ab system and time interval (years after donation) in order to specify the risk of HCC (Table 3). Although the number was small, the O/E for the subjects whose GOT values were more than 40 was larger than that for those whose values were within normal limits. The ratios were 25.00 for the former and 5.76 for the latter. The O/E for the cases with HBsAg titer of less than 10 was 9.45, which was larger than that for those with a titer of 10 or more. This meant that HBsAg titers of HCC were lower than those of the other donors. The O/E ratio for the subjects with HBeAg was 8.00 and 7.02 for those with anti-HBe. Twelve cases of HCC have already had seroconversion from HBeAg to Anti-HBe at the time of donation. The risk of developing HCC within a period of 3 years or less after donation was almost equal to that of those with a time interval of more than 3 years.

Although histological diagnosis was performed for two-thirds of the 15 liver cancers, eight cases were indisputably diagnosed as HCC. Others were diagnosed on the basis of angiography, scintiscan, echogram or computed tomography in combination with level of alpha-fetoprotein (AFP). Consequently, all the cases were considered to be unambiguous HCC or primary liver cancer. Neither cholangiocarcinoma nor secondary (metastatic) liver cancer seemed to be misclassified.

<sup>†</sup>Significant at the 1% level (two-tailed).

<sup>\$</sup>Significant at the 0.1% level (two-tailed).

Table 3. Observed and expected number of deaths with their ratios from liver cancer among male HBsAg positive blood donors from 1977 to 1983

amon.	g mate 112311g post	01000 donois from 1517 to 1505	
By GOT* value		By HBeAg-Ab sytem†	

	GOT ≤ 40	GOT > 40		HBeAg	Anti-HBe
Obs	11	4	Obs.	2	12
Exp. O/E	1.91	0.16	Ex.	0.25	1.71
O/E	5.76‡	25.00‡	O/E	8.00	7.02‡

## By HBsAg titer

## By time in years after donation

	Titer < 210	Titer ≥ 2 <sup>10</sup>		≤ 3 years	> 3 years
Obs	12	3	Obs.	6	9
Exp.	1.27	0.80	Exp.	0.89	1.17
O/E	9.45‡	3.75	O/E	6.74	7.69‡

<sup>\*</sup>Karmen Units.

#### DISCUSSION

Judging from the findings of correlation studies between HBsAg positivity and incidence of (or mortality from) HCC [1–4], and world-wide epidemiological studies [5–22], asymptomatic HBV carriers are at risk of developing HCC. The results of our prospective study are in agreement with these findings. However, the pathogenesis of HCC is still unsolved in spite of intensive molecular biological research on HBV DNA [4, 23–28]. The HBV DNA is integrated in the genomes of some HCC, but insertion sites are not consistent in different tumors. Oncogenes have not been identified in the HBV DNA. In the meantime, however, sequences of HBV DNA are being analyzed and the carcinogenesis of HCC may soon be untangled.

Regarding the strength of the relationship between HBV infection and HCC, Beasley et al. [5] reported a relative risk as high as 223 in Taiwan. Relevant figures (relative risk in prospective studies [6-9] or odds ratios from retrospective studies [10-22]) were estimated to be 5-20, 10-20 and 40–135 in the countries of high (over 10%), medium (1-10%) and low (less than 1%) prevalence of HBsAg, respectively. Relative risk seems to be inversely related to the prevalence of HBsAg. By means of a retrospective approach, Chien et al. [15] reported that the prevalence of HBsAg was 12.0% in healthy individuals in Taiwan and the odds ratio of HBV infection with regard to HCC was 17.6. The disrepancy between the values is so large that explanations are needed to account for it. As the relative risk of Beasley et al. [5] was based on a small number of deaths among a reference group, they may need a longer observation period to resolve this question.

On the contrary, the relative risk in the present study seems to be somewhat underestimated as in other prospective studies [6-9]. There are a number of plausible explanations. Firstly, our study was based on blood donors who were asymptomatic healthy individuals and the O/E was far less than one for all causes of death. This may be called 'healthy donor effect' similar to the 'healthy worker effect' in occupational studies. Secondly, the data are limited both in terms of the observation period and study subjects' age distribution. Therefore, in order to estimate the degree of relative risk more precisely, it would be preferable to have a longer observation period as well as a large number of older blood donors. Thirdly, the expected number of deaths was calculated by using the death rates for a general population which includes HBV carriers. Theoretically such estimations should be computed on the basis of a HBsAg negative population. Furthermore, in addition to primary liver cancer [155.0 (ICD-8th)], liver cancer (primary or secondary unspecified) (197.8), intrahepatic biliary duct carcinoma (155.1) and some secondary forms of liver cancer (197.7) are included in the mortality

Case studies of primary liver cancer have been extensively carried out in Japan [31, 32], reporting that the HBsAg positive rate in HCC was 30–40%. The rate for the general male population is known to be 2%. From these values, the odds ratios of HBV infection with respect to HCC were estimated to be 21–33, which was almost equivalent to 20 reported by Kubo et al. [21].

There is another index for the strength of association: attributable risk (etiologic fraction), which is helpful to show what percentage of HCC is

<sup>†</sup>HBeAg-Ab system was negative for one case of liver cancer.

<sup>\$</sup>Significant at the 0.1% level (two tailed).

accounted for by HBV infection. Here we estimated population attributable risk % (PAR%) [33] using published data [10–22]. The PAR% were 50–80, 45–55 and 15–30 in the countries having a high (over 10%), medium (1–10%) and low (less than 1%) prevalence of HBsAg, respectively. Contrary to relative risk, PAR% seemed to correlate with positive rates of HBsAg with few exceptions. Here again, utilizing the data reported by the Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan [31, 32]l, we estimated PAR% to be 29–39, which was somewhat smaller than the 44 reported by Kubo et al. [21].

Although the number was small, the HBeAg-Ab system was not related to the risk of HCC in the present study. As is well known, HBeAg is considered to be an index of prognosis of hepatitis B [34-37] as well as infectivity of HBV [38-40]. Some investigators have reported that seroconversion from HBeAg to anti-HBe is favorable for prognosis [34-36], but others disagree [15, 18, 20, 22, 37]. As the timing of seroconversion may have something to do with the prognosis, we need well designed and long-term follow-up studies to answer this question. Furthermore, HBsAg titer was not associated with the risk of HCC in this study; however, the precise reasons are unknown to us. If persistent infection of HBV and integration of HBV DNA in the liver cell are essential in the carcinogenesis, irrespective of virulence or immune response,

HBsAg titer itself may be unrelated to the risk of HCC.

A similar increased risk of HCC was not detected among female HBsAg positive blood donors in the present study. This is partly because of the limited number of study subjects. Male preponderance in regard to the risk of HCC is well known in many countries, and the male vs. female ratio of mortality from cancer of the liver in Japan is more than 2 [3, 41]. Exposure to smoking and alcohol drinking, which are considered to be cofactors or promoters in hepatocarcinogenesis, are far less common among Japanese females than males. Since, to our knowledge, every study seems to have failed to detect the elevated risk of HCC specifically among females, a similar prospective study is now in progress on the basis of about 6000 medical records of female HBsAg positive blood donors in order to determine whether the strength of the association between HBV infection and HCC among females is equal to that of males.

Acknowledgements—The authors thank Dr. M. Nishizumi, Miss K. Funatsu, Miss K. Hara, Miss A. Maeda, Miss E. Noda and Mr. R. Uehara of Saga Medical School, and Miss K. Eguchi and the staff of Fukuoka Red Cross Blood Center for their cooperation and assistance.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Nishioka K, Levin AG, Simons MJ. Hepatitis B antigen, antigen subtypes, and hepatitis B antibody in normal subjects and patients with liver disease. Results of a collaborative study. *Bull WHO* 1975, **52**, 293-300.
- 2. Szmuness W. Hepatocellular carcinoma and the hepatitis B virus: evidence for a causal association. *Prog Med Virol* 1978, **24**, 40-69.
- 3. Hirayama T. Recent topics of liver cancer: epidemiology. *Int Med* 1983, **52**, 408-418 (in Japanese).
- 4. Blumberg BS, London WT. Hepatitis B virus and the prevention of primary cancer of the liver. J Natl Cancer Inst 1985, 74, 267-273.
- Beasley RP, Hwang L-Y, Lin C-C, Chien C-S. Hepatocellular carcinoma and hepatitis B virus. A prospective study of 22,707 men in Taiwan. Lancet 1981, ii, 1129-1133.
- 6. Oshima A, Tsukuma H, Hiyama T, Fujimoto I, Yamano H, Tanaka M. Follow-up study of HBsAg-positive blood donors with special reference to effect of drinking and smoking on development of liver cancer. *Int J Cancer* 1984, **34**, 775–779.
- 7. Iijima T, Saitoh N, Nobutomo K, Nambu M, Sakuma K. A prospective cohort study of hepatitis B surface antigen carriers in a working population. Gann 1984, 75, 571-573.
- 8. Hall AJ, Winter PD, Wright R. Mortality of hepatitis B positive blood donors in England and Wales. *Lancet* 1985, i, 91-93.
- 9. Prince AM, Alcabes P. The risk of development of hepatocellular carcinoma in hepatitis B virus carriers in New York. A preliminary estimate using death-records matching. *Hepatology* 1982, 2, 15S-20S.
- 10. Larouze B, London WT, Saimot G et al. Host responses to hepatitis-B infection in patients with primary hepatic carcinoma and their families. A case/control study in Senegal, West Africa. Lancet 1976, ii, 534-538.
- 11. Yeh F-S, Mo C-C, Luo S, Henderson BE, Tong MJ, Yu MC. A serological case-control study of primary hepatocellular carcinoma in Guangxi, China. *Cancer Res* 1985, 45, 872-873.
- 12. Lingao AL, Domingo EO, Nishioka K. Hepatitis B virus profile of hepatocellular carcinoma in the Philippines. *Cancer* 1981, 48, 1590-1595.
- Lam KC, Yu MC, Leung JWC, Henderson BE. Hepatitis B virus and cigarette smoking: risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma in Hong Kong. Cancer Res 1982, 42, 5246-5248.
- 14. Tabor E, Gerety RJ, Vogel CL et al. Hepatitis B virus infection and primary hepatocellular

- carcinoma, J. Natl Cancer Inst 1977, 58, 1197-1200.
- 15. Chien M-C, Tong MJ, Lo K-J et al. Hepatitis B viral markers in patients with primary hepatocellular carcinoma in Taiwan. J Natl Cancer Inst 1981, 66, 475-479.
- 16. Coursaget P, Maupas P, Goudeau A, Drucker J. Incidence and significance of hepatitis B e antigen and antibody in post necrotic cirrhosis and primary hepatocellular carcinoma. J Clin Microbiol 1978, 7, 394-395.
- 17. Prince AM, Szmuness W, Michon J et al. A case/control study of the association between primary liver cancer and hepatitis B infection in Senegal. Int J Cancer 1975, 16, 376-383.
- 18. Kew MC, Desmyter J, Bradburne AF, Macnab GM. Hepatitis B virus infection in southern
- African blacks with hepatocellular cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 1979, 62, 517-520.

  19. Macnab GM, Urbanowicz JM, Geddes EW, Kew MC. Hepatitis-B surface antigen and antibody in Bantu patients with primary hepatocellular cancer. Br J Cancer 1976, 33, 544-548.
- 20. Trichopoulos D, Tabor E, Gerety RJ et al. Hepatitis B and primary hepatocellular carcinoma in a European population. Lancet 1978, ii, 1217-1219.
- 21. Kubo Y, Okuda K, Hashimoto M et al. Antibody to hepatitis B core antigen in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. Gastroenterology 1977, 72, 1217-1220.
- Yarrish RL, Werner BG, Blumberg BS. Association of hepatitis B virus infection with hepatocellular carcinoma in American patients. Int J Cancer 1980, 26, 711-715.
- 23. Chakraborty PR, Ruiz-Opazo N, Shouval D, Schafritz DA. Identification of integrated hepatitis B virus DNA and expression of viral RNA in an HBsAg-producing human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line. Nature 1980, 286, 531-533.
- 24. Brechot C, Pourcel C, Louise A, Rain B, Tiollais P. Presence of integrated hepatitis B virus DNA sequences in cellular DNA of human hepatocellular carcinoma. Nature 1980, 286, 533-535
- 25. Edman JC, Gray P, Valenzuela P, Rall LB, Rutter WJ. Integration of hepatitis B virus sequences and their expression in a human hepatoma cell. Nature 1980, 286, 535–538.
- 26. Mitamura K, Imawari M, Matsuzaki Y et al. State of hepatitis B virus DNA in liver and hepatocellular carcinoma tissues of HBV carriers. Acta Hepatol Jpn 1984, 25, 622-629.
- 27. Hino O, Kitagawa T, Sugano H. Relationship between serum and histochemical markers for hepatitis B virus and rate of viral integration in hepatocellular carcinomas in Japan. Int I Cancer 1985, 35, 5-10.
- 28. Koshy R, Maupas P, Müller R, Hofschneider PH. Detection of hepatitis B virus-specific DNA in the genomes of human hepatocellular carcinoma and liver cirrhosis tissues. J Gen Virol 1981, 57, 95-102.
- 29. Ministry of Health and Welfare, Japan. Adjusted Death Rates of Major Causes of Death in 1980. Special Report in Vital Statistics. Tokyo, Health Statistics Association, 1983, pp. 38–255.
- 30. Rothman KJ, Boice JD Jr. Epidemiologic Analysis with A Programmable Calculator. Washington DC, US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, 1979, pp. 29-30.
- 31. Okuda K, The Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan. Primary liver cancers in Japan. Cancer 1980, **45**, 2663–2669.
- 32. Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan. Survey and follow-up study of primary liver cancer in Japan—Report 6. Acta Hepatol Jpn 1985, 26, 254-262.
- 33. Levin ML. The occurrence of lung cancer in man. Acta Unio Int Contra Cancrum 1953, 9,
- 34. Fukuda Y, Kawasaki T, Miyamura M et al. Prevalence of HBeAg and HBeAb in the patients with primary hepatocellular carcinoma. Acta Hepatol Jpn 1981, 22, 859-865.
- 35. Vogten AJM, Schalm SW, Summerskill WHJ et al. Behaviour of e antigen and antibody during chronic active liver disease. Relation to HB antigen-antibody system and prognosis. Lancet 1976, ii, 126-128.
- 36. Werner BG, Murphy BL, Maynard JE, Larouze B. Anti-e in primary hepatic carcinoma. Lancet 1976, i, 696.
- 37. Realdi G, Alberti A, Rugge M et al. Seroconversion from hepatitis B e antigen to anti-HBe in chronic hepatitis B virus infection. Gastroenterology 1980, 79, 195-199.
- 38. Kashiwagi S, Hayashi J, Ikematsu H et al. Transmission of hepatitis B virus among siblings. Am J Epidemiol 1984, 120, 617-625.
- 39. Okada K, Yamada T, Miyakawa Y, Mayumi M. Hepatitis B surface antigen in the serum of infants after delivery from asymptomatic carrier mothers. J Pediat 1975, 87, 360-363.
- 40. Matsushita H. Epidemiology of HBV carriers. Kan Tan Sui 1980. 1, 9-17 (in Japanese).
- 41. Kurihara M, Aoki K, Tominaga S. Cancer Mortality Statistics in the World. Nagoya, University of Nagoya Press, 1984, pp. 14-15.